

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/718,975	11/20/2003	Ha Çhu Vu	· 08211/0200375-US0/P05816	2727		
38845 DARBY & DA	7590 01/23/2007 RRY P C		EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5257			SHERMAN,	SHERMAN, STEPHEN G		
NEW YORK,	NY 10150-5257		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2629			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MO	NTHS	01/23/2007	PA	PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/718,975	VU, HA CHU		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen G. Sherman	2629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timudely be some and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Do</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 and 27-32 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5 and 27-32 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2006</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 20 December 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-20 and 27-32 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,975 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agarwal et al. (US 2004/0032406) in view of Ward et al. (US 2004,0135602).

Regarding claim 1, Agarwal et al. disclose an interface circuit for processing an analog color signal (Figure 4) comprising:

a phase locked loop (PLL) circuit adapted to generate a phased signal from a synchronizing signal that is associated with the analog color signal (Figure 4, item 416 and paragraph [0027] explain that PLL can perform frequency synthesis on the HSYNC signal.);

a phase adjuster adapted to generate an adjustable delay signal (Figure 4, item 406 and paragraph [0027] explain that the delay generator can introduce inter-pixel phase delays in equal intervals.); and

an analog to digital converter adapted to improve processing of the analog color signal (Figure 4 shows digitizer 402 which contains ADCs 411, 412 and 414 as explained in paragraph [0027].).

Art Unit: 2629

Agarwal et al. fail to teach of providing a plurality of phased signals, each phased signal of the plurality of phased signals on at least one corresponding separate signal line of a plurality of signal lines, and that the phase adjuster is adapted to generate the adjustable delay signal from two of the plurality of phased signals that are apart from each other by an odd multiple of approximately 45 degrees, and choosing the adjustment to the delay signal, wherein at least one simulated phase is provided.

Ward et al. disclose of a PLL providing a plurality of phased signals, each phased signal of the plurality of phased signals on at least one corresponding separate signal line of a plurality of signal lines (Figure 3 shows phase locked loop 5 which provides a plurality of phased output signals CLK0-CLK7 each on a separate signal line.), and

a phase adjuster that is adapted to generate an adjustable delay signal from two of the plurality of phased signals that are apart from each other by an odd multiple of approximately 45 degrees, and choosing the adjustment to the delay signal, wherein at least one simulated phase is provided (Figure 5 and paragraph [0007] explain that the phase interpolator 10 receives the phased signals from the PLL, which are apart form each other at 45 degrees as shown in Figure 4, where two phased signals are chosen that the phase of the data stream falls between and a clock signal is generated between the two chosen phases, i.e. a delay is introduced).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to use the phase detection and selection method as taught by Ward et al. with the interface circuit for processing an analog color signal as taught by Agarwal et al. in order to provide preferential alignment of the clock transitions and

Art Unit: 2629

13.

the data transmissions such that the data is given the maximum time both before and

after the clock transition in which to be stable.

Regarding claim 2, Agarwal et al. and Ward et al. disclose the circuit of claim 1.

Agarwal et al. also disclose wherein the synchronizing signal is intended to generate a pixel clock in a display, and the phased signals replicate those of the pixel clock (Paragraph [0027] explains that the HSYNC corresponds to a pixel clock and that the signals output by the PLL set the pixel clock frequency.).

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Regarding claim 12, please refer to the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 13, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Regarding claim 14, please refer to the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 2.

Regarding claim 16, Agarwal et al. and Ward et al. disclose the method of claim

Ward et al. also disclose wherein deriving is performed by:

Art Unit: 2629

determining the location of a general requested delay in a phase diagram (Figure 4 and paragraph [0006]); and

selecting the two phased signals such that they define a sector between on the phase diagram that encompasses the general required delay (Paragraph [0007]).

6. Claims 3-4, 6-10 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agarwal et al. (US 2004/0032406) in view of Ward et al. (US 2004,0135602) and further in view of Donnelly et al. (US 2004/0223571).

Regarding claim 3, Agarwal et al. and Ward et al. disclose the circuit of claim 1.

Agarwal et al. and Ward et al. fail to teach wherein the phase adjuster includes: a first phase selector for selecting a first one of the phased signals: a second phase selector for selecting a second one of the phased signals; and a phase mixer for multiplying the first selected phased signal with a first weight, multiplying the second selected phased signal with a second weight, and adding together the first and the second multiplied phased signals to derive the delay signal.

Donnelly et al. disclose wherein the phase adjuster includes:

a first phase selector for selecting a first one of the phased signals (Figure 6, selection circuitry 510 selects a first one of the phased signals K<r:0> Kx 520.);

a second phase selector for selecting a second one of the phased signals (Figure 6, selection circuitry 510 selects a first one of the phased signals K<r:0> Ky 530.); and

Art Unit: 2629

a phase mixer for multiplying the first selected phased signal with a first weight, multiplying the second selected phased signal with a second weight, and adding together the first and the second multiplied phased signals to derive the delay signal (Figure 6, phase interpolator 560 is explained in paragraphs [0055]- [0057], where the values of currents 1720 and 1730 are adjusted such that the combination of the two signals can create any delay.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to use the functionality of the phase adjuster taught by Donnelly et al. with the phase adjuster taught by the combination of Agarwal et al. and Ward et al. in order to provide an efficient method for generating the delay signal from the plurality of phased signals.

Regarding claim 4, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 3.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:

a decoder to generate phase selection signals for selecting the first and second phased signals (Figure 6 shows that control circuit 570 creates sel<s:0> to select the first and second phase signals as explained in paragraph [0057].)

Regarding claim 6, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 3.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:

Art Unit: 2629

a Phase Digital to Analog Converter for generating a first weight signal representing the first weight and a second weight signal representing the second weight, and wherein the phase mixer receives the first weight signal and the second weight signal to derive the delay signal (Figures 6 and 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that the currents 1720 and 1730 that are used to weight the signals must be received by the phase interpolator 560 in order to derive the delay signal stating that the phase interpolator functions as a weighted integrator. Paragraph [0057] explains that the phase interpolator is adjusted to produce an output.).

Regarding claim 7, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 6.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:

a decoder to generate weight selection signals for generating the first and second weight signals (Figure 6 shows that control circuit 570 create the signals applied to phase interpolator 560 for selecting the weighted signals.).

Regarding claim 8, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 6.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the first and second weights have a substantially constant sum total weight (Paragraph [0056] explains that the currents 1720 and 1730 are adjustable but that if one or the other is at maximum and minimum

Art Unit: 2629

then the delay can be generator different ways meaning that the sum weight is substantially constant.).

Regarding claim 9, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 8.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the Phase Digital to Analog converter includes

a first current source drawing a first current that represents the first weight (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain current source 1720 draws a first current.),

a second current source drawing a second current that represents the sum total weight (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that the coincidence detector 1860 draws currents from lines 1840 and 1850 to determine a total weight.), and

a third current source drawing a difference current between the second current and the first current, wherein the difference current is used to derive the second weight signal (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that current source 1730 draws a current which is the difference between a total current and the first current, which represents a second weight.).

Regarding claim 10, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 8.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the sum total weight equals a multiplication integer times four, and the first weight equals the multiplication integer times one of

Art Unit: 2629

zero, one, two, three and four (Paragraph [0056] explains that the current source 1720 can be set to zero, meaning that during an adjustment period for a particular delay, if current source 1720 is zero, then the multiplication factor could be any number multiplied by zero which would obtain the total weight being a number times 4, i.e. the total sum divided by 4 would be the multiplication factor.).

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 3.

Regarding claim 18, Agarwal et al., Ward et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the method of claim 17.

Donnelly et al. also disclose the method further comprising:

selecting first and second weights so as to simulate the general requested delay within the sector (Paragraph [0056] explains that the weights are selected to generate the delay required.).

Regarding claim 19, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 9.

Regarding claim 20, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 10.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5 and 27-32 are allowed. Application/Control Number: 10/718,975 Page 11

Art Unit: 2629

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for allowing claim 5 is the combination of the phase mixer receiving the first and second selected phases and a phase information signal and then adding different weights to the first and second phased signals and using these signals to arrive at the delay signal, which is not found singularly or in combination in the prior art.

The closest available references for teaching this phase mixer is Ward et al. (US 2004/0135602) and Donnelly et al. (US 2004/0223571). Ward et al. disclose of receiving multiple phased signals and using two of these signals to derive a delay signal, however, does not teach of adding different weights to the first and second phased signals. Donnelly et al. disclose of receiving two phased signals, adding weights to these signals and deriving a delay signal from the two phase signals, however, Donnelly et al. fail to teach of the phase mixer receiving a phase information signal.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2629

Kitaura et al. (US 5,062,005) disclose of a PLL, phase shifter and phase detector in which multiple clock signals are produced, one of which is selected according to an input address signal.

Von Hase (US 7,151,537) discloses of a PLL circuit where two different phased signals are produced, one of which is selected at a time (Figure 8).

Arai et al. (US 7,145,579) disclose of a display apparatus that corrects phase differences between the respective signals that are generated while the signals are being transmitted.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen G. Sherman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,975 Page 13

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SS

18 January 2007

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AMR A. AWAD